Engineering Notebook Rubric

Team #	Grade Level □ ES	□ MS I □ HS I	l □ University	Judge Name	
1 Gaill #	Orace Level L Lo			Juage Haine	

Directions: Determine the point value that best characterizes the content of the Engineering Notebook for that criterion. Write that value in the column to the right. This rubric is to be used for all Engineering Notebooks regardless of format (physical or digital).

CRITERIA	PROFICIENCY LEVEL				
ENGINEERING DESIGN PROCESS	EXPERT (4-5 POINTS)	PROFICIENT (2-3 POINTS)	EMERGING (0-1 POINTS)	POINTS	
IDENTIFY THE PROBLEM	Identifies the problems in the competition and workcell design challenges in detail at the start of each design process cycle with words and pictures. States the goals for accomplishing each phase.	Identifies the challenge at the start of each design cycle. <u>Lacking details in words</u> , pictures, or goals.	Does not identify the challenge at the start of each design cycle.		
BRAINSTORM, DIAGRAM, OR PROTOTYPE SOLUTIONS	<u>Lists three or more possible solutions</u> to the challenge with labeled diagrams. Citations provided for ideas that came from outside sources.	Lists one or two possible solutions to the challenge. Citations provided for ideas that came from outside sources.	Does not list any solutions to the challenge.		
SELECT BEST SOLUTION AND PLAN	Explains why the solution was selected through testing and/or a decision matrix. <u>Fully describes</u> the plan to implement the solution.	Explains why the solution was selected. Mentions the plan.	Does not explain any plan or why the solution or plan was selected.		
BUILD AND PROGRAM THE SOLUTION	Records the steps to build and program the solution. Includes enough detail that the reader can follow the logic used by the team to develop their workcell design, as well as recreate the workcell design from the documentation.	Records the key steps to build and program the solution. <u>Lacks</u> sufficient detail for the reader to follow the design process.	Does not record the key steps to build and program the solution.		
TEST SOLUTION	Defines what is considered positive and/or negative test outcomes. Records all the steps to test the solution, including test results.	Records the key steps to test the solution.	Does not record steps to test the solution.		
REPEAT DESIGN PROCESS	Shows that the <u>design process is repeated multiple</u> <u>times</u> to improve performance on a design goal, or workcell performance.	<u>Design process is not often</u> <u>repeated</u> for design goals or workcell performance.	Does not show that the design process is repeated.		
INDEPENDENT INQUIRY	Team shows evidence of independent inquiry from the beginning stages of their design process. Notebook documents whether the implemented ideas have origins within existing industries, companies, etc. For example a team was able to physically or virtually tour a local/regional/global company.	Team shows evidence of independent inquiry for some elements of their design process. Ideas and information from outside the team are documented.	Team shows little to no evidence of independent inquiry in their design process. Ideas from outside the team are not properly credited		
USEABILITY AND COMPLETENESS	Records the entire design and development process in such clarity and detail that the reader could recreate the project's history.	Records the design and development process completely but lacks sufficient detail.	Lacks sufficient detail to understand the design process.		
RECORD OF TEAM AND PROJECT MANAGEMENT	Provides a complete record of team and project assignments; team meeting notes including goals, decisions, and building/programming accomplishments; design cycles are easily identified. Resource constraints including time and materials are noted throughout.	Records most of the information listed at the left. Level of detail is inconsistent, or some aspects are missing.	Does not record most of the information listed at the left. Not organized.		
NOTEBOOK FORMAT	Five (5) points if the notebook has evidence that documentation was done in sequence with the design process. This can take the form of dated entries with the names of contributing students included and an overall system of organization. For example, numbered pages and a table of contents with entries organized for future reference. Partial points may be awarded if this is inconsistent or incomplete. ZERO POINTS (DOES NOT MEET CRITERIA) If awarding zero points, please include details in the "NOTES" area below				
NOTES:				TOTAL POINTS	

Team Interview Rubric

Team #	Grade Level □ ES □ MS □ HS □ University	Judge Name
. va	0. a a c - c · c · c · c · c · c · c · c · c ·	- augo rumo

Directions: Determine a point value that best characterizes the content of the Team Interview for that criterion. Write that value in the column to the right.

CRITERIA		PROFICIENCY LEVEL		
	EXPERT (4-5 POINTS)	PROFICIENT (2-3 POINTS)	EMERGING (0-1 POINTS)	POINTS
ENGINEERING DESIGN PROCESS	Team shows evidence of multiple engineering design cycles including independent inquiry from the beginning stages of their design process. This includes brainstorming, testing, and exploring alternative solutions.	Team shows evidence of independent inquiry for <u>some</u> <u>elements</u> of their design process.	Team shows little to no evidence of independent inquiry in their design process.	
GAME STRATEGIES	Team can fully explain their entire game strategy including game analysis.	Team can explain their current strategy with <u>limited evidence of game analysis</u> .	Team <u>did not explain</u> game strategy/strategy is not student-directed.	
WORKCELL DESIGN	Team can <u>fully explain</u> the progression of their workcell design, detailing the specific decisions and actions that shaped the final design	Team can provide a <u>limited</u> <u>description</u> of why the current workcell design was chosen, but shows limited evolution.	Team did not explain workcell design, or design is not student-directed.	
WORKCELL BUILD	Team can <u>fully explain</u> their workcell construction . Ownership of the workcell build is evident.	Team can describe why the current workcell design was chosen, but with limited explanation.	Team <u>did not explain</u> workcell build, or build is not student-directed.	
WORKCELL PROGRAMMING	Team can <u>fully explain</u> the evolution of their programming.	Team can describe how the current programs work, but with <u>limited</u> evolution.	Team <u>did not explain</u> programming, or programming is not student-directed.	
CREATIVITY/ ORIGINALITY	Team can describe creative aspect(s) of their workcell with clarity and detail.	Team can describe a creative solution but the answer lacks detail.	Team has difficulty describing a creative solution or gives minimal response.	
TEAM AND PROJECT MANAGEMENT	Team can explain how team progress was tracked against an overall project timeline. Team can explain management of material and personnel resources.	Team can explain how team progress was monitored, and some degree of management of material and personnel resources.	Team <u>cannot explain how team</u> <u>progress was monitored</u> or how resources were managed.	
TEAMWORK, COMMUNICATION, PROFESSIONALISM	Most or all team members contribute to explanations of the design process, game strategy, and other work done by the team.	Some team members contribute to explanations of the design process, game strategy, and other work done by the team	Few team members contribute to explanations of the design process, game strategy, and other work done by the team.	
RESPECT, COURTESY, POSITIVITY	Team consistently interacts respectfully, courteously, and positively in their interview.	Team interactions show signs of respect and courtesy, but there is room for improvement.	Team interactions lack respectful and courteous behavior.	
SPECIAL ATTRIBUTES AND OVERALL IMPRESSIONS	Does the team have any special attribution this event? Did anything stand out about	tes, accomplishments, or exemplary effo tt this team in their interview? Please de	0 0	TOTAL POINTS

All judging materials are strictly confidential. They are not shared beyond the Judges and Judge Advisor and shall be destroyed at the end of the event.