20 22 **REC FOUNDATION** - COACH — SUMME

JUDGING: AN INTEGRAL PART OF REC FOUNDATION COMPETITIONS

Carol Kujawa and Ben Mitchell



BEFORE WE BEGIN

BEST PRACTICES

This is for YOU - the Coaches. Please ask questions when you have them.





JUDGING INTEGRAL TO REC FOUNDATION PROGRAMS

TAKEAWAYS FOR COACHES

- Why Judging?
- How your team can prepare for judging
- Engineering Notebook and Team Interview best practices
- Differentiation between judged awards





THE IMPORTANCE OF JUDGING

WHY DO JUDGING?

The Judging Process gives students an opportunity to:

- practice written communication skills through the Engineering Notebook
- practice verbal communication skills through the Team Interview
- demonstrate the values of the REC Foundation Code of Conduct and Student-Centered policies

Judging recognizes and celebrates what teams have learned and the hard work they have put into the robotics competition as an educational activity

Judged awards can qualify teams to higher levels of competition



STUDENT CENTERED

TEAMS SHOULD KNOW AND UNDERSTAND

STUDENT-CENTERED LEARNING

Students actively involved in learning to increase knowledge and skills under the guidance of adult mentorship

STUDENT-CENTERED APPLICATION

Student ownership of robot design, build, programming and utilization

01



CODE OF CONDUCT

Act with integrity, honesty, reliability, courtesy and respect of others

Exhibit maturity and class in difficult and stressful situations

Good sportsmanship

03

THE ETHOS OF JUDGING

CORE PRINCIPLES FOR TEAMS

- A team that earns an award should be **Student-Centered**
- A team that earns an award should abide by the REC Foundation Code of Conduct
- The **Team Interview is a conversation between students and judges** it is not a prepared presentation
- The Interview and Notebook are **genuine reflections** of student work
- The Engineering Notebook is developed **by the team**, for the team not a "presentation notebook" designed for the judges to look at
- There is **no magic formula** for winning an award
- Each award is a worthy accomplishment in its own right no award should be seen as a consolation prize



QUICK JUDGING OVERVIEW

A TEAM-CENTRIC VIEW OF THE JUDGING PROCESS







HOW TO PREPARE FOR JUDGING

KNOW BEFORE YOU GO!

- Read and understand the Student Centered and Code of Conduct Policies
- Read and understand the Judge Guide
- Review the Team Interview Rubric, the Engineering Notebook Rubric, and the Award Descriptions
- Two components of Judging at events
 - Engineering Notebook evaluation
 - Judged team interview (10-15 minutes)
- Have students self-evaluate their notebook throughout the season
- Conduct mock interviews and notebook reviews with trusted adults
- Do the Skills Challenges at events Match rankings (qualification and Skills Challenges) factor into the Excellence Award
- Manage expectations it is possible to do everything 'right' and still not earn an award

ROBOTICS EDUCATION & COMPETITION FOUNDATION Inspiring students, one robot at a time.



ENGINEERING NOTEBOOK RUBRIC

UPDATED AND IMPROVED

- Highlighted the **Engineering Design Process** Criteria in criteria list
- Teams earn 5 points for evidence that Notebook creation is contemporaneous with the design process
- Format-neutral verbiage applies to both bound and digital notebooks alike
- Cleaner formatting
- More instructive language for ease-of-use by judges and to help teams prepare

Γ	Engineering Notebook Rubric						
	Team # Grade Level 🗆 ES 🗆 MS 🗆 HS 🗆 VEX U Judge Name:						
Directions: Determine the point value that best characterizes the content of the Engineering Notebook for that criterion. Write that value in the column to the right. Total the points. This rubric is to be used for all Engineering Notebooks regardless of format (physical or digital).							
	CRITERIA PROFICIENCY LEVEL						
	ENGINEERING DESIGN PROCESS	EXPERT (4-5 POINTS)	(2-3 POINTS)	(0-1 POINTS)	POINTS		
	IDENTIFY THE PROBLEM	<u>I entifies</u> the game and robot design nalenges in detail at the start of each design ocess cycle with words and pictures. States te goals for accomplishing the challenge.	Identifies the challenge at the start of each design cycle. Lacking details in words, pictures, or goals.	Does not identify the challenge at the start of each design cycle.			
	BRAINSTORM, DIAGRAM, OR PROTOTYPE SOLUTIONS	t sts three or more possible solutions to the natenge with labeled diagrams. Citations ovided for ideas that came from outside surces such as online videos or other teams.	Lists one or two possible solutions to the challenge. Citations provided for ideas that came from outside sources.	Does not list any solutions to the challenge. No citations provided for ideas from outside sources.			
	SELECT BEST SOLUTION AND PLAN	t xplains why the solution was selected t rough testing and/or a decision matrix. <u>Fully</u> <u>escribes the plan</u> to implement the solution.	Explains why the solution was selected. <u>Mentions the plan.</u>	Does not explain any plan or why the solution or plan was selected.			
	BUILD AND PROGRAM THE SOLUTION	ecords the steps to build and program the solution. Includes <u>enough detail that the</u> <u>i ader can follow the logic</u> used by the team to covelop their robot design, as well as recreate the robot design from the documentation.	Records the key steps to build and program the solution. Lacks sufficient detail for the reader to follow the design process.	Does not record the key steps to build and program the solution.			
	TEST SOLUTION	I ecords all the steps to test the solution, cluding test results.	Records the key steps to test the solution.	Does not record steps to test the solution.			
	REPEAT DESIGN PROCESS	s hows that the <u>design process is repeated</u> <u>I ultiple times</u> to improve performance on a esign goal, or robot/game performance.	Design process is not often repeated for design goals or robol/game performance.	Does not show that the design process is repeated.			
	USEABILITY AND COMPLETENESS	Records the entire design and development process in such clarity and detail that the reader could recreate the project's history.	Records the design and development process completely but <u>lacks sufficient</u> <u>detail</u>	Lacks sufficient detail to understand the design process.			
	RECORD OF TEAM AND PROJECT MANAGEMENT	Provides a <u>complete record of team and</u> project <u>assignments</u> , team meeting notes including goals, decisions, and building/programming accomplishments; Design cytes are easily identified. Resource constraints including time and materials are poled throughout.	Records most of the information listed at the left. Level of detail is inconsistent, or some aspects are missing.	Does not record most of the information listed at the left. Not organized.			
	NOTEBOOK FORMAT	ve (5) points if the notebook has evidence that documentation was done in equence with the design process. Examples include signed and dated entries ritten in ink for a bound notebook, or validated revision history generated by gital collaboration platforms. Includes index hate of contents.					
	NOTES:				POINTS		



ENGINEERING NOTEBOOK EXPERT PROFICIENCY LEVEL



BRAINSTORM SOLUTIONS

List **three or more** possible solutions to the game or robot design challenge with labeled diagrams IN DETAIL

Citations provided for ideas from outside sources like videos or other teams

SELECT BEST SOLUTION

Explain **why** the solution was selected - by design matrix? by testing?

Fully describe IN DETAIL **the plan** to implement the chosen solution

BUILD AND PROGRAM

Record IN DETAIL the **steps** to build and program the solution

Include enough detail for reader to **follow the logic and recreate** the design

COACH SUMMIT 2022

ROBOTICS EDUCATION & COMPETITION FOUNDATION Inspiring students, one robot at a time.

CRITERIA

ENGINEERING DESIGN PROCESS

IDENTIFY THE



ENGINEERING NOTEBOOK EXPERT PROFICIENCY LEVEL

RECORD OF TEAM AND PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Provide a complete record of team and project assignments

Provide team meeting notes including goals, decisions, and accomplishments

Project timeline and design cycles are easily identified

Resource constraints including time and materials are noted throughout

NOTEBOOK FORMAT

The Notebook has evidence that the documentation was done in sequence with the design process

Signed and dated entries written in ink (for bound notebooks), or validated revision history (generated by digital platforms)

Notebook includes a Table of Contents

COACH SUMMIT 2022



USEABILITY AND COMPLETENESS

Record the entire design

DESIGN PROCESS

IDENTIFY THE PROBLEM

> BRAINSTORM, DIAGRAM, OR PROTOTYPE SOLUTIONS

SELECT BEST SOLUTION AND PLAN

BUILD AND PROGRAM THE SOLUTION

TEST SOLUTION

REPEAT DESIGN PROCESS

USEABILITY AND COMPLETENESS

RECORD OF TEAM AND PROJECT MANAGEMENT

NOTEBOOK FORMAT



These are the minimum daily notebook entries for robotics students.

- 1. The Title is the type of work (or information) on that page. The Title should be on the top of every page the students worked.
- 2. The date the work was done. The Date should be on the top of every page the students worked.
- 3. The team members who are there and what they are assigned to. This should be entered once at the beginning of their day.
- 4. The work done that day. It can be text, drawings, or photos of any building; the code printout for any programming; or the results of any practice matches or other tests.

Drawings and photos should have a title (or caption) with labels of important items.

Sign and date any items taped into the notebook.

5. "X-out" any empty space, team members that were there sign in the signature area, and date the closing of the page.

Have a Coach or Mentor witness the page.

Source: R. Miller - Engineer, Team Mentor, Key Volunteer and Judge Advisor - Tuesday Tech Talks, October 20, 2020



- Table of Contents filled out with title and page number(s)
- Every page needs to be numbered with date and full signatures of team member(s)
- Use full names when signing each page
- Photographs of the team are good
- All team members should add comments to the Notebook
- All notes and decisions from team meetings detailed in the Notebook
- Citations from online videos, other teams, competitions should be included
- Notebooks had awesome sketches where we could tell what we were looking at
- Code changes should stay in chronological order with the robot build throughout the Notebook
- In general, when anything is changed
 - Describe reason for the change
 - What motivated the change (testing? competition results?)
 - Fully describe the change(s)
 - Describe advantages and disadvantages
 - Write the timeline to complete the change and list updates to the plan
 - Sketches need to be neat and identifiable
 - Repeat this sequence EVERY time a change occurs

Source: Slide with tips from Judge Advisor B. Sweet, 2019-2020 Judge Training presentation by S. Brasher/L. Cruse



mp. 1-3 The Game : Change Up (rules, scoring, field diagram) 4/27-28/20 mp. 4-5 My Projects 10/10-12/20 mp. 5-10 pg.75 Sensor Strategy 10/10-12/20 pg. 11 pg.75 Sensor Strategy 10/10-12/20 pg. 12-13 sensors, distance sensors, lime trackers, joertial 10/10-12/20 pg. 14-16 pg. 79-50 find trackers, loge 10/13/20	Drivetrain Options Criteria (lesst to most important) Omni Wheel Tank C Serup proper % VE "The secret of getting alread is g ur/p1 (2)	pisitize view instanted - say Berger 89	$\sqrt{e_x}$ Arrogate Expirers design and hold acrosit and space-out. $\sqrt{e_x}$ Arrogate Expirers design and hold acrosit and space-out. $\sqrt{e_x}$ Arrogate Expirers design and hold acrosit and space-out.	Third Prototype: Third Prototype: This teacher teache
19.17 19.18 Colorenza Balta Marre 10/14-15/10. 19.25 19.38-18 Colorenza Balta Marre 10/14-15/10. 19.21 19.38-18 Colorenza Balta Marre 10/17-15/10. 19.21 19.38-18 Colorenza Balta Marre 10/17-15/10. 19.21 19.38-17 Programming Autonomeus / Sequential yz. Dynamic 10/14-25/10. 19.35 Programming Autonomeus / Sequential yz. Dynamic 10/24-27/10. 10/24-27/10. 19.35 Programming Autonomeus / Sequential yz. Dynamic 10/24-27/10. 10/24-27/10. 19.35 Programming Autonomeus / Sequential yz. Dynamic 10/24-27/10. 10/24-27/10. 19.39 19.39 Programming Autonomeus / Sequential yz. Dynamic 10/24-27/10. 10/24-27/10. 19.39 19.39 Programming Autonomeus / Sequential yz. Dynamic 10/24-27/10. 10/24-27/10. 19.39 19.39 Programming Autonomeus / Sequential yz. Dynamic 10/24-27/10. 10/24-27/10. 19.39 19.39 Programming Autonomeus / Sequential yz. Dynamic 11/17/20. es. 19.44-15 19.16-18 Interview Autonomeus / Sequential yz. 11/17/20. es. <t< th=""><th>Ability to turn ackey Low motor usage 1 to usage 1</th><th>ScoreActor Problem (1) add reduce, the number of times a ball fails to fare to make it in the goal. GOAL COMPLETED Hology autonemous, all is short, it dessift go far enough to make it into nots back from the rine of the goal onto the top sooring distance sensor (see p. 33) detects it. active full boxed of the rough to make it into not back the destift go far enough to make it into not back from the rine of the goal onto the top sooring distance sensor (see p. 33) detects it. active full boxed of the rough to make it into not back and the rough of the rough to make it into not back and the rough of the rough to make it into active full boxed of the rough to make it into active full boxed of the rough to make it into active full boxed of the rough to make it into active full boxed of the rough to make it into active full boxed of the rough to make it into active full boxed of the rough to make it into active full control (see p. 33) detects it. active full control (see p. 33) detects of the rough to the rough to make it into active full control (see p. 33) detects of the rough to the rough to make it into active full control (see p. 33) detects of the rough to the rough to make it into active full control (see p. 33) detects of the rough to the rough to make it into active full control (see p. 34) detects of the rough to the rough to make it into active full control (see p. 34) detects of active full control (see p. 3</th><th>August Septer August Septer Image: Image:</th><th><image/> Base Base Description Second Second Second S</th></t<>	Ability to turn ackey Low motor usage 1 to usage 1	ScoreActor Problem (1) add reduce, the number of times a ball fails to fare to make it in the goal. GOAL COMPLETED Hology autonemous, all is short, it dessift go far enough to make it into nots back from the rine of the goal onto the top sooring distance sensor (see p. 33) detects it. active full boxed of the rough to make it into not back the destift go far enough to make it into not back from the rine of the goal onto the top sooring distance sensor (see p. 33) detects it. active full boxed of the rough to make it into not back and the rough of the rough to make it into not back and the rough of the rough to make it into active full boxed of the rough to make it into active full boxed of the rough to make it into active full boxed of the rough to make it into active full boxed of the rough to make it into active full boxed of the rough to make it into active full boxed of the rough to make it into active full control (see p. 33) detects it. active full control (see p. 33) detects of the rough to the rough to make it into active full control (see p. 33) detects of the rough to the rough to make it into active full control (see p. 33) detects of the rough to the rough to make it into active full control (see p. 33) detects of the rough to the rough to make it into active full control (see p. 34) detects of the rough to the rough to make it into active full control (see p. 34) detects of active full control (see p. 3	August Septer August Septer Image:	<image/> Base Base Description Second Second Second S

Source: Team 10703Z





Source: https://www.roboticseducation.org/teams/vex-iq-competiton/

https://www.roboticseducation.org/resources library/sample-vrc-design-notebook/

ROBOTICS EDUCATION & COMPETITION FOUNDATION Inspiring students, one robot at a time.



UPLOAD THE ENGINEERING NOTEBOOK



• Robotevents.com > Login > "My Account"

- Digital Engineering Notebook **upload button is found to the right** of each registered team on Account Dashboard
- Enter **full URL** to the team's Engineering Notebook
- Ensure sharing settings **do not require login credentials** to view
- If link requires login credentials to view, an error message will appear and the sharing settings of the link must be modified to clear the error message
- The notebook link is in the system when it appears in blue below
- Options to disable or delete the link appear after the link has been posted
- The link should allow viewing the notebook without requesting permission or requiring huge files to be downloaded





TEAM INTERVIEW RUBRIC

UPDATED AND IMPROVED

- Removed reference to the Engineering
 Notebook as part of the Team Interview
- Added criteria to represent all Judged Awards
- Award names identify which criteria are linked to which awards
- Added criterion for team attributes that may not 'fit' other award criteria
- Reworded all criteria descriptions for ease of use by judges and for teams to prepare
- Added space for notes

PROFICIENCY LEVEL					
CRITERIA	EXPERT (4-5 POINTS)	(2-3 POINTS)	(0-1 POINTS)	POINTS	
ENGINEERING DESIGN PROCESS All Awards	tudents clearly explain <u>all</u> spects design process	Students can explain most aspects design process	Students can explain only limited aspects of design process		
GAME STRATEGIES Design, Innovate, Create	etudents explain the <u>entire</u> <u>evolution</u> of their game strategy	Students can explain their current strategy with <u>limited</u> evidence of evolution	Students <u>did not explain</u> game strategy/strategy is not student-directed		
ROBOT DESIGN Design, Innovate, Create	tudents can <u>fully explain</u> the volution of their robot design to the current design	Students can provide a <u>limited</u> <u>description</u> of why the current robot design was chosen, but shows limited evolution	Students <u>did not explain</u> robot design /design is not student-directed		
ROBOT BUILD Build, Create	Students can <u>fully explain</u> their poot construction. Ownership of the robot build is evident	Students can describe why the current robot design was chosen, but with <u>limited evolution</u>	Students <u>did not explain</u> robot build/build is not student-directed		
ROBOT PROGRAMMING Think	tudents can <u>fully explain</u> the volution of their programming	Students can describe how the current programs work, but with limited evolution	Students <u>did not explain</u> programming/programming is not student-directed		
TEAM AND PROJECT MANAGEMENT All Awards	Students can explain <u>how team</u> progress was tracked against an overall project timeline. students can explain management of material and personnel resources.	Students can explain <u>how team</u> progress was monitored, and some degree of management of material and personnel resources	Students <u>cannot explain</u> how team progress was <u>monitored</u> or how resources were managed.		
TEAMWORK, COMMUNICATION, PROFESSIONALISM All Awards	Students can explain how multiple team members contributed to the robot design and game strategy. All students answer questions independently.	Students can explain how <u>some</u> team members contributed to the robot design and game strategy. Some students answer questions independently.	Only <u>one team member</u> <u>answered</u> questions or contributed to the robot design process.		
RESPECT, COURTESY, POSITIVITY All Awards	Students answer respectfully and courteously. Students <u>make sure each team member</u> <u>contributes</u> . Students wait to speak until others have finished.	Students answer respectfully and courteously. Some <u>students</u> <u>attempt to contribute</u> but are interrupted by other students.	Students <u>do not answer</u> respectfully and courteously. Students interrupt each other or the Judges.		
SPECIAL ATTRIBUTES Judges, Inspire					





TEAM INTERVIEW EXPERT PROFICIENCY LEVEL

ENGINEERING DESIGN PROCESS

Students clearly explain all aspects design process

GAME STRATEGIES

Students explain the entire evolution of their game strategy

ROBOT DESIGN

Students can fully explain the evolution of their robot design to the current design

ROBOT BUILD

Students can fully explain their robot construction, and ownership of the robot build is evident

ROBOT PROGRAMMING

Students can fully explain the evolution of their programming





TEAM INTERVIEW EXPERT PROFICIENCY LEVEL

TEAM AND PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Students can explain how team progress was tracked against an overall project timeline, students can explain management of material and personnel resources

TEAMWORK, COMMUNICATION, PROFESSIONALISM

Students can explain how multiple team members contributed to the robot design and game strategy. All students answer questions independently

RESPECT, COURTESY, POSITIVITY

Students answer respectfully and courteously. Students make sure each team member contributes. Students wait to speak until others have finished

SPECIAL ATTRIBUTES

Special attributes, accomplishments, or exemplary effort in overcoming challenges at the event



WHAT ARE THE JUDGED AWARDS?

THE REQUIRED AWARDS

DESIGN AWARD (Engineering Notebook Required)

Be at or near the **top of Engineering Notebook Rubric rankings**

Exhibit a **high-quality team interview**

Team demonstrates effective management of time, talent, and resources

Team interview demonstrates their ability to explain their **robot design and game strategy**

EXCELLENCE AWARD (Engineering Notebook Required)

All Design Award criteria, plus:

Be ranked in the top 10 or top 30% of teams in Qualification Rankings

Be ranked in the top 5 or top 20% of teams in Robot Skills Rankings

Be a candidate in consideration for other Judged Awards

JUDGES AWARD

Team displays **special attributes, exemplary effort, and perseverance at the event**

Team overcomes an obstacle or challenge and achieves a goal or special accomplishment at the event

Earned by a team that distinguishes themselves in some way that may not fit in other award categories



WHAT ARE THE JUDGED AWARDS?

OPTIONS FOR EVENTS

INNOVATE AWARD (Engineering Notebook Required)

Recognizes an effective and well documented design process

The team who earns the Innovate Award should be among the **top contenders for the Design Award**

The submission of an Engineering Notebook is a requirement for the Innovate Award THINK AWARD Recognizes the most effective and consistent use of coding techniques and programming design solutions to solve the game challenge

ENERGY AWARD Recognizes outstanding enthusiasm and excitement at the event

AMAZE AWARD Recognizes a consistently highperforming and competitive robot

INSPIRE AWARD

Recognizes **passion for the competition and positivity** at the event

BUILD AWARD

Recognizes a **well-constructed robot** that is constructed with high attention to detail to hold up to the **rigors of competition**

SPORTSMANSHIP AWARD

Recognizes a high degree of **good sportsmanship**, helpfulness, and positive attitude both on and off the competition field

CREATE AWARD

Recognizes a **creative engineering design solution** to one or more of the challenges of the competition Note: Full Award Descriptions Are Found In the Judge Guide



Judging Single-Page Reference Sheet

DESIGN AWARD	EXCELLENCE AWARD	JUDGES AWARD	INNOVATE AWARD			
 Be at or near the top of Engineering Notebook Rubric rankings. Exhibit a high-quality team interview. Team demonstrates effective management of time, talent, and resources. Team interview demonstrates their ability to explain their robot design and game strategy. 	All Design Award criteria. plus: Be ranked in the top 10 or top 30% of teams in Qualification Rankings Be ranked in the top 5 or top 20% of teams in Robot Skills Rankings. Be a candidate in consideration for other Judged Awards	Earned by a team that distinguishes themselves in some way that may not fit in other award categories Team displays special attributes, exemplary effort, and perseverance at the event Team overcomes an obstacle or challenge and achieves a goal or special accomplishment at the event	Recognizes an effective and well documented design process. The team who earns the Innovate Award should be among the top contenders for the Design Award. The submission of an Engineering Notebook is a requirement for the Innovate Award.			
THINK AWARD	AMAZE AWARD	BUILD AWARD	CREATE AWARD			
Recognizes the most effective and consistent use of coding techniques and programming design solutions to solve the game challenge.	Recognizes a consistently high- performing and competitive robot.	Recognizes a well- constructed robot that is constructed with high attention to detail to hold up to the rigors of competition.	Recognizes a creative engineering design solution to one or more of the challenges of the competition.			
ENERGY AWARD	INSPIRE AWARD	SPORTSMANSHIP AWARD	NOTE			
Recognizes outstanding enthusiasm and excitement at the event.	Recognizes passion for the competition and positivity at the event.	Recognizes a high degree of good sportsmanship, helpfulness, and positive attitude both on and off the competition field.	For Full Award Descriptions, please refer to the Judge Guide			
INTERVIEW CHECKLIST		INTERVIEW TIPS Ask learns if they have an upcoming match before you start your interview – matches will not wait for tearns! Ask if all team members are present before starting the concernent of the starting the				

(Optional)

If you have trouble finding a team, check the match

schedule and find them as they leave a match.

- Be mindful of your environment. Do not leave notes Take picture of robot, be sure team number is shown unattended or discuss teams when others could hear Wish team success and thank them for the interview - o Mark pit sign or team list to show completed interview
- it means a lot to teams! Away from the team, briefly discuss interview with
- Judge group & fill out the Team Interview Notes sheet.

JUDGED AWARDS **ONE PAGE REFERENCE SHEET** ALL IN ONE PLACE!

Thumbnail **descriptions** of each Judged Award for quick

reference and side-by-side comparison

Interview Checklist and Best-Practice Interview Tips

facilitate consistency among interviews... all on one page!!





IN SUMMARY

CORE PRINCIPLES FOR TEAMS

- A team that earns an award should be **Student-Centered**
- A team that earns an award should abide by the REC Foundation Code of Conduct
- The **Team Interview is a conversation between students and judges** it is not a prepared presentation
- The Interview and Notebook are **genuine reflections** of student work
- The Engineering Notebook is developed **by the team, for the team** not a "presentation notebook" designed for the judges to look at
- There is **no magic formula** for winning an award
- Each award is a worthy accomplishment in its own right no award should be seen as a consolation prize



THANK YOU

20 REC FOUNDATION COACH SUMMIT